

System Impact Study SPP-2001-017 For Transmission Service Requested By Sempra Energy Trading Corp.

From Kansas City Power and Light To Entergy

For a Reserved Amount Of 50MW From 1/1/02 To 1/1/03

SPP Coordinated Planning

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-017) June 28, 2001 Page 1 of 10

Table of Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 3
2. INTRODUCTION	. 4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	. 5
A. DESCRIPTION B. MODEL UPDATES C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	. 5 . 5
4. STUDY RESULTS	. 6
 A. Study Analysis Results	. 7 . 7
5. CONCLUSION	. 9
APPENDIX A	10

<u>1. Executive Summary</u>

Sempra Energy Trading Corp. has requested a system impact study for the renewal of long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from Kansas City Power and Light to Entergy. The period of the transaction is from 1/1/02 to 1/1/03. The request is for OASIS reservation 231079, which is the renewal of OASIS reservation 211564, for 50MW.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the 50MW transfer while maintaining system reliability.

New overloads caused by the 50MW transfer were identified along with determining the impact of the transfer on any previously assigned and identified facilities.

2. Introduction

Sempra Energy Trading Corp. has requested an impact study for transmission service from KCPL control area with a sink of EES.

The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the transfer too less than 50MW. This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses.

The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 50MW transfer on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system.

ATC analyses shows the amount of First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capabilities (FCITC) between the given study systems and what the limitations are, if any, for transferring up to 50MW.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Two analyses were conducted to determine the impact of the 50MW transfer on the system. The first analysis was conducted to identify any new overloads caused by the 50MW transfer. The second analysis was done to ensure that available capacity exists on previously identified circuits.

The first analysis was to study the steady-state analysis impact of the 50MW transfer on the SPP system. The second step was to study Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the facilities identified in the steady-state analysis impact. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to thermal overloads with a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within emergency ratings after a contingency.

The second analysis was done to determine the impact of the transfer on previously assigned and identified facilities.

B. Model Updates

SPP used three seasonal models to study the 50MW request. The SPP 2001 Series Cases 2001/02 Winter Peak, 2001 Summer Peak, and 2002/03 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 50MW transfer on the SPP system during the transaction period of 1/01/02 to 1/01/03.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect future firm transfers during the request period that were not already included in the January 2001 base case series models.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\E, single and select double contingency outages were analyzed. Then full AC solution was used to obtain the most accurate results possible. Any facility overloaded, using MVA ratings, in the transfer case and not overloaded in the base case was flagged. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A.

4. Study Results

A. Study Analysis Results

<u>Tables 1, 2, and 3</u> contain the analysis results of the System Impact Study. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred; the emergency rating of the overloaded circuit (Rate B), the contingent loading percentage of circuit with and without the studied transfer, the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated, any SPP identification or assignment of the event, and any solutions received from the transmission owners.

<u>Table 1</u> shows the new facility overloads caused by the 50MW transfer. No new overloads were identified for the KCPL to EES 50MW transfer.

<u>Table 2</u> documents overloads on Non SPP Regional Tariff participants' transmission systems caused by the 50MW transfer.

<u>Table 3</u> documents the 50MW transfer impact on previously assigned and identified facilities. No previously assigned and identified facilities were found to limit the KCPL to EES 50MW transfer.

<u>**Table 1**</u> – SPP Facility Overloads caused by the KCPL to EES 50MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area - To Area	Branch Over 100% RateB	RATEB	BC % I Loading	TC % I Loading	Outaged Branch That Caused Overload	ATC (MW)
01WP		NONE					50
01SP		NONE					50
02WP		NONE					50

<u>**Table 2**</u> – Non - SPP Facility Overloads caused by the KCPL to EES 50MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area - To Area	Branch Over 100% RateB	RATEB	BC % I Loading	TC % I Loading	Outaged Branch That Caused Overload
01WP		NONE		Ŭ	Ŭ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
02SP	EES-EES	98273 4OAKGROV to 98283 T300/331 CKT 1	135	99.9	100.1	50009 BVISTA 4 to 50016 BERWKTP4 CKT1
02SP	EES-SWPA	99825 5MIDWAY# to 52660 BULL SH5 CKT 1	162	99.9	100.5	52648 NORFORK5 161 to 52661 BUFRDTP5 CKT1
02WP		NONE				

Study Year	From Area - To Area		RATEB	BC % I Loading		ATC (MW)	Assigned
01WP		NONE					
01SP		NONE					
02WP		NONE					

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-017) June 28, 2001 Page 8 of 10

5. Conclusion

No facilities were identified as restricting the requested 50MW renewal from KCPL to Entergy; therefore, OASIS reservation 231079 will be accepted.

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-017) June 28, 2001 Page 9 of 10

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment

_ Flat start

_Lock DC taps

_Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance -1.0
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating -100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report 1mw
- 6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

Newton Solution:

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment
 - _Flat start
 - _Lock DC taps
 - _Lock switched shunts